



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_5614
Title of the Manuscript:	A NOVEL APPROCH FOR SPHERE DECODER MIMO SYSTEM
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.



SDI Review Form 1.6

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Comment regarding the manuscript "A NOVEL APPROCH FOR SPHERE DECODER MIMO SYSTEM" 1. Algorithm is not very well explained 2. Complexity analysis of proposed and generalized sphere decoder is needed in the manuscript 3. References given are not very recent 4. Explain the abbreviations used in line 27,67 5. see line 163. In the same line recieved vetcor is or can be? In line 13 about the ML decoder means like the ML decoder? [Comment received as email from reviewer]	1. Detailed Algorithm with steps is included in the manuscript. 2. Complexity analysis part is included in the manuscript. 3. Latest References are included in the manuscript. 4. Done 5. I have corrected Line 163. -Corrected. -Suggested change has been made.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		